East Dennis
July 20th 1874
E J Whittmore Esq
Dear Sir,

We have been looking

with a good deal of solicitude

at the county papers as to

induced to think of a county road on the

occasion of me... and other land here

given and as you know to

the matter would probably

be assigned for us on the 16th June

we have concluded having your

board have come to the conclusion
nor to accede to the prayer of
the Petitioners which opinion was
strengthened by a notice of a second
meeting on a road blocked elsewhere
to the one we asked for last
week. I was at Chausain
on the 27th by curfew and saw
Mr. Atkins who informed me
nothing had been done about the
issue for amendment.

The matter cleared up soon to
keep being the Chairman.

We beg to say that if
we are to have a road as
asked for we should like to
have it during this generation
do as to get the benefits to be
obtained from its use whileニュー
for the business locate to here
now which we may call for it
may change as much as it
in the next generation as of they
in this and these might then
be no necessity for a road at
all do them by doing delays
done of the benefit of the to
be derived from our early
collection likewise he last
another reason for that seems
be call for prompt action is
that in case you should see
prefer to neglect either the pieces
of the junctions they cannot trade
may therefore efforts yet some relief
from the Tories towards where the road goes on, through the courts which are now held to suspense a verdict in your favor. We hope you will give the matter its early attention. The circumstances of your complaint will admit of personal investigation. You are to state your demand. And understand, Mr. I. where there was opposition to the way and out of a road. More necessary must be two hearings given by the courts to give just notice. I am of the hearing at Norwalk. What I learned from a distinct person is to yourself that two hearings were only the occasion when the Great Whig.
E S Whittemore Esq
Dear Sir
We have been looking with a good deal of solicitude at each County paper as it issued to see if any notice of a second s[---]ing for the laying out of a County road on the petition of self and others had been given and as you stated to me the matter would probably be adjudicated on the 16. June we had concluded [----] you [----]ard had com eto the conclusion [over page] not to accede to the passage[?] of the petitioners which opinion was strengthened by a notice of a second hearing on a road received subsequent to the one we asked for. but last week I was at Provincetown on the R R excursion and saw Mr Atkins who informed me that nothing had been done The time for adjudicating the matter devolved on you to fix being the Chairman
we beg to say that if we are to have a road as asked for we should like to have it during this generation so as to get the benefits to be derived from its use while needed [next page] for the business and travel which now call for it Many change as much in the next generation as it has in this and there might then be no necessity for a road at all so that by long delays some of the benefits to be derived from an early construction would be lost
Another reason that seems to Call for prompt action is that in case you should see proper to reprise the passage of the petitions they could probably by strenuous efforts get some relief [over page] from the Towns through which the road passes or through the Courts which is now held in suspense awaiting your action  we hope you will give the matter as early attention as the circumstances & your Conscience will admit of. permit me [-----] to state that I supposed and understand that where there was opposition to the laying out of a road there necessarily must be two hearings given by the Coun[---] it was not until the close of the hearing at Harwich that I learned from a statement made by yourself that two hearings were only necessary when the Courts thought [end of page]